TOPEKApproved For Release 2001/03/04: CIA-RDP8
CAPITAL FAUG 2 0 1979 STATINTL

CAPITAL - JOURNAL

g = 70,851

Proprietary' Attitude Objectionable-

U.S. Found Difficult Ally

By ROBERT S. ELEGANT
L.A. Times-Washington Post Service
MUNICH—Ten years ago in
Saigon I enjoyed the exhausting
privilege of a long discussion
with President Ngo Dinh Diem
"on deep background," as our
trade's jargon puts it.

The chief, though mavoidable, fault of reports on the Pentagon study is presenting only the American viewpoint. An Asian viewpoint during the critical year 1961 may give the revelations better perspective.

- The theme of Diem's selfstyled "expose" was the enormous difficulties of operating as an ally of the United States. He charged that Washington never possessed a mixed, or even tentative, policy, depending upon improvisation and reaction to events rather than devotion to long-term goals. He further charged that the State Department, the White House, the Pentagon and the Central . Intelligence: Agency, usually at odds, agreed only on rejecting his advice and requests.

Diem was, to say the least, controversial. Some explanation is, therefore, desirable before reporting his detailed—and often bitter—remarks.

Despite personal fondness for the doughty Diem, I was never his ehampion. Indeed, I suggested in print in November, 1961, that he must go if South Victnam and the American interests there were to be preserved. He had, I felt then and still feel, become so isolated from his countrymen and so anti-American that he could no longer work effectively with the two chief forces that harbored hope of salvation: first, of course, the people of South States.

THE OVERWITELMING im-Apression that emerges from Diem's "expose" is the "proprietary" American attitude By no accident, the Pentagon papers also evinced the arrogance that made it impossible for the United States to deal with Asians as equal allies. Feeling that it alone knew best, the United States was ineapable of leading a coalition. Instead, Washington attempted to drive the Vietnamese, judged they by inapplicable American standards, and ignored their advice

Preoccupied by Laos just before Vice President Lyndon B. Johnson's visit in spring, 1961, Diem said: "We do not understand what policy the United States wishes to apply . . . 1 told the Americans the French were sabotaging Laos . . . we wanted to build a road from Attopeu (in Laos) to Kntum (in Victnam) because Route 9 (the axis of 1971's Laotian raid) was inconvenient. But (Lt. Gen. Samuel) Williams (chief of the U.S. Military Assistance and Advisory Group) insisted the road wouldn't benefit Vietnam directly . . . The State Depart-1 ment told us: 'You Victnamese

would try to use the road for aggressive purposes . . . '"

Supply routes, concentrated in southern Laos, were later the lifeline of the Communist attack. Dicm asserted that Washington played deaf when he reported: "In 1959, the Communists began infiltrating southern Laos... when we notified the embassy of stepped-up guerrilla activity, they said we exaggerated. They said it was my new hobby to demand extra troops."

Diem added: "We must decide on one policy because it Is fatal to all southeast Asians not to have a policy. The Laotians still depend on the Americans. We told them to move militarily, but the Americans are pushing everyone to suicide!"

Despite the hyperbole characteristic of the statements regarding Vietnam, Diem thus pointed out the strategic key to Indochina. Washington would not recognize that key for another decade.

Vietnam, and second the Hulled Release 2001/03/04 : CIA-RDP80-01601R000300360070-6